

MORE ON THE “GARTEN” PART



Most anybody fey enough to build a house is also sufficiently masochistic to want a vegetable garden. Call it “white picket fence” disease or whatever you will, I simply prefer to eat vegetables picked only minutes before. While there are solid medical reasons for this preference, growing food is only part of what this section is about, because I was just curious enough to design this particular garden with a whole host of other purposes long torturing the back of my mind, a list that (needless to say) has grown with my seemingly insatiable curiosity. The result was a huge investment of time and trouble with limitations that I’ll just have to tolerate because undoing them at this point is simply beyond the pale. Had I the funds to get somebody else to do it... sigh, engineers.

This chapter is a new addition to the book; it's a bit sketchy in its content and organization until I gather more images and get the presentation worked out, not to mention do more work in this area once the writing demands drop off a bit. It includes the following topics: :

1. Wood grow box construction technology and the incorporation and benefits of charcoal as a soil amendment.
2. The vegetable garden as a soil diagnostic and amendment process development tool as applies to the rest of the land.
3. Observations of native plant behavior when given optimal soil conditions and characterization of their behavior in a garden setting.
4. Companion planting, both with natives and crop cultivars.

In other words, this garden is meant to be an integral part of the restoration of the whole property toward the purpose of restoring people in the land. The garden sustains the people doing the work. It is a way of learning about the soil parent material and the properties of the native plants found here. It suggests how to develop their potential benefits for human and animal health. But it is more than that, because there would be stringent demands placed upon people who would do this kind of restoration work in the future in far more remote areas than where I live.

This garden was built as a permanent architectural feature of our home. It is therefore a fixed thing of fairly standard construction. In addition to growing yummy food, the intention is to use it as a developmental tool with which to identify desirable grow box design features and process attributes for self-contained *portable* growing systems as are advocated in the new edition of *Shemitta*. In that respect, the goal of the development project would be to maximize the independence of the mobile users, requiring minimal regular supplies with which to sustain life, thus extending residence time in the wild with minimal need for expensive deliveries. As portable equipment, one must improve adaptability to variations in terrain, solar exposure, soil and air temperatures, pests, and moisture conditions. Some of these needs demand contradictory features. Yet finding the way among trade-offs is what development work is all about. The subject deserves attention to facilitate wildland restoration.

As a garden, the soil must maintain good soil drainage, efficient “soil water” infiltration and retention, yet with a low leaching rate of both mineral and organic nutrients. One might also use the garden as a sink for weedy organic matter, to contain seed and prevent unwanted dispersion of cultivars into the surroundings. It would process human wastes from composting toilets, use gray water from a sink, all of which has been done by others better than I. Portability on the other hand... not so much.

None of this will be cheap to develop from an engineering perspective, but bits of the knowledge toward those ends are within our capability here at the Wildergarten, some of which are design related, but more of which are process related (making new machinery costs bigger money than I have available right now). So in the mean time, I'll learn what I can so that the machinery might go through fewer iterations. That is how things get done in the real world anyway.

The requirements for building such systems are many and are part of what makes this such an interesting engineering challenge. So I'll list a few to help you keep them in mind as you read this.

Yield per unit weight (including soil) and area

Moisture infiltration and retention. i.e., easy in, but not so easy out (with charcoal, perlite, and mycorrhizae it's doable)

Nutrient retention versus the above

Setup and preparation time for moving

Pest Resistance

Ergonomic access and low total labor input

Cost

Beauty (beauty inspires work)

Serve as testing bed to develop processes with which to rehabilitate native soils

A sink for weeds as organic matter

Develop native plant companion planting within that area to learn which native plants function to augment productivity of food crop plants

Develop native plant attributes as cultivars

To learn which native plants are edible, what their health benefits and or risks might be, and how to cook with them to produce tasty and nutritious food. Part of this goal is to reduce allergic responses of people new to an area by homeopathic means.

Minimal injury to soil animals

Sampling, analyzing, and characterizing local soil microflora

Simple flexible and efficient irrigation, preferably modular

Integrated with germination systems, including soil heat control (a likely problem in a mobile system).

Anybody who thinks that this combined list of demands is not a serious engineering problem should ask for a refund on their degree.



BOX CONSTRUCTION & SOIL PREPARATION



The box' walls were built of redwood I had felled in 1994 and milled here years later. These timbers are full 3X8 heartwood bolted to 4X4 pressure-treated posts. Although it was overkill, I couldn't sell the redwood so what the heck. The wood was coated with copper naphthanate. All joints were bedded with tar. This image was after ten years of use when I dug out the boxes to mix in charcoal for a soil experiment, so I had an opportunity to document their construction and to reveal the durability of the design. Redwood is corrosive to metals. These boxes are assembled with 3/8" hot-dipped galvanized bolts with oversized washers because redwood is soft and subject to cracking. The bolts are coated with "wet-surface" tar so they did not corrode at all. There are gaps between timbers to inhibit rot.



The surface was graded so that it drains the rock to a flush 4" pipe day-lighting just outside the box for a cleanout, its opening covered by $\frac{1}{4}$ " hardware cloth. The bottom of the box is protected from gophers with $\frac{1}{2}$ " hardware cloth sheets wired together on bare soil at pH7 protected from corrosion due to soil salts by a sheet of 6 mil polyethylene on top. The wire bottom is "sewn" to a sheet of 16ga galvanized steel at right. A second sheet of wire closes the lower outside opening (left) and folds underneath. On top of the polyethylene is $\frac{3}{4}$ " drain rock. The outside walkway is pea gravel to drain rainfall, inhibit weeds, and clean up with a rake.



The side walls were lined with doubled 6 mil black polyethylene. This retains moisture in the soil and protects the wood from both moisture and rot fungi. Then filter fabric was put on the rock (I would use MiraDrain® today and gain a couple of inches of depth). Sand was put over the filter fabric so that it won't clog, The polyethylene has the bottom layer underneath the sand and the visible layer on top



The soil mix has three components by volume : 40% soil from the land (left), 35% composted wood chips (right) and 25% charcoal.



This was done in two “lifts” of mixing. The first lift of soil, organic matter, and charcoal was mixed with a rototiller.



The effect of adding charcoal should be obvious (right). Here I am adding the second lift of rotted chips on the left, then charcoal, and the rest was native soil. Then I mixed it again along with a 40# bag of a volcanic trace mineral clay, trade name Azomite®.






When it's done, it looks like this. Along the top edge I screwed on a polyethylene "bender board" to protect the exposed edge of the inside polyethylene sheet from both sunlight and physical damage. I sowed bell beans as a cover crop for the winter. They grew to 4-5' and made good greens but did not produce nitrogen fixing nodules at all (this was before I knew about our molybdenum deficiency).

I first added charcoal to soil soon after Charles Mann published his seminal 2002 article in the Atlantic Monthly: [1491](#). In it, he reported that newly deforested areas in the Amazon jungle revealed huge rectangular plots of agricultural soils, “dark earths” dug six feet deep with charcoal, six-to-nine times more productive than the “native soils” thereabout. Effectively, aboriginal peoples had *made* much of the Amazon “jungle,” actually an abandoned permaculture forest grown on modified soils. Making charcoal for soil finally provided a use for the excess vegetation choking these mountains. I just had to try it.

At that time I was in the process of building these beds, so I put in all the charcoal I had from burn piles into the bottom layer (which wasn’t much), hoping to retain nutrients before they washed out. It didn’t work; I had not crushed the coals thus not deriving much surface area for adsorption. I’ve since been “making do” with minimal amendments for years, first simply because I wanted to understand how to grow good food in a relatively *closed* system, both as a matter of responsibility to the future, and second because I cannot import weed seeds that might infect the land.

Our soil is sand, in an area with as much as 50” of rain in the winter and very hot summers. Every year I would till in organic matter, and every year the soil level would drop four or more inches as the soil compacted and the organic matter leached or oxidized (you should see the growth on the slope below the drain outlet). So the soil degraded very rapidly, in part because managing a garden was secondary to me compared to getting rid of weeds on the land. Still, there had to be a better way.

In sand, water usually drops almost straight down. Two years ago, I put four yards of charcoal through a 1/4” screen and mixed it into the soil as you saw. I have since been growing crops in the box continuously, including cover crops in the winter. I added half the mulch I once did and only a 1/2” layer of kitchen compost. There has been no other physical amendment. The difference is considerable. This year, I was growing squashes in a trench down the middle of the bed with a single drip line of emitters. At the end of the summer, I pulled the plants, turned off the water, waited two weeks, and checked for moisture. Here is what I found: The soil was still wet in a pattern such as you see below. This isn’t sand any more, this is a sponge. It is even starting to look like one when you break it. So, one problem has abated, but there are others.



SOIL REHABILITATION & FOLIAR APPLICATION




At first this squash grew rapidly, but look at the chlorotic leaves, especially in shade (arrows). Most of the leaves once looked as bad or worse, some frying completely in the sun. My daughter at Utah State had checked this soil for N-P-K, pH, conductivity, and salt. All were fine. Multiple sample tests on the soil parent elsewhere on the property indicated adequate magnesium, iron, cobalt, and manganese, but low zinc, boron, and no molybdenum. Visual diagnostics also suggested molybdenum deficiency, with the lack of boron probably accounting for the leaf miners (blue).

Need photos of chlortic clovers with pink leaf margins
I've seen them



As was explained elsewhere, I have noted vegetative symptoms indicating molybdenum deficiency elsewhere on the property. I have reason to suspect that aboriginal burning over millennia left residual charcoal that retained atmospheric deposition of trace minerals in the soils and that those minerals were then lost when the place was terraced and tilled annually for apples.



Charcoal may retain trace minerals, but if it is not incorporated into the soil it cannot do nearly as much good.

October 2012



I want those minerals to get into the soil but I cannot be tilling steep slopes to incorporate them, nor do I wish to see an expensive treatment washed off by rain or leached out of the soil before I learn whether it works. The problem is that incorporating minerals by tilling would bury non-native seed, then to be brought to the surface later on. I have worked too hard to make that situation worse. So the question is: How do I find a way to get the small amount of molybdenum needed into the soil without tilling?

Foliar Plus Soil

Soil Only

Foliar Only

Notes:

1. Target molybdenum concentration was to achieve 1ppm for the top 1' of soil depth
2. Target boron concentration was to achieve 3ppm for the top 1' of soil depth
3. Both sodium molybdate dihydrate and boric acid were mixed at 2gm/gallon
4. The applications were sprayed uniformly until the material was gone
5. The beds are 64, 96, 128 square feet.



I would like to use a sprayed solution as a way to achieve uniform dilution in application. If possible, I would like to use the plants as a means to translocate the needed minerals *into* the soil which would retain it for longer in an available condition in decayed organic matter. So I am using the garden to test both translocation efficiency and retention of molybdenum by charcoal. So I dutifully researched the concentrations of the salts of these elements my plants might tolerate as a foliar spray. During the process, I learned that one must know the assimilation rate into the foliage of each respective mineral so that each application is absorbed before adding the next; else they can just wash off. Growing squash was probably ideal, both because of high growth rates and large leaf surface area. I applied nitrate first, as that goes in within a few hours and the process washes off surface dust and stimulates growth activity. Then it was 2gm/gallon boric acid because that goes in quickly too. Zinc takes 1-2 days, while sodium molybdate can take 10-40 days. Foliar translocation of molybdenum is *very* slow. It also leaches from soils. Sometimes, the choices we have are sub-optimal.

2014-15 Charcoal, Molybdenum, & Clay Array



1. This is a different location than the prior bone meal, blood meal, burn, and charcoal+Azomite® array.
2. Again, each cell is 240 square feet.
3. Four cells were amended with charcoal at a rate of 1 cubic yard of charcoal / 960 ft²
4. Four cells were amended with used kitty litter (no feces) at a rate of # / 960 ft²
5. Four cells will be amended with molybdenum by foliar spray at a rate of XX gm sodium molybdate dihydrate / 960 ft²
6. Eight half-cells were sprayed with Surflan® pre-emergence herbicide.



If the data from the garden show reasonable assimilation of Mo by foliar application, that changes the time at which I will apply it for the experiment above. I may include nitrates in the fields with oryzalin pre-emergence to stimulate the germination of the remaining weed seeds until they are gone.



End of September 2014

Mulching as stimulating an increase in moles, voles, and gophers may then help mix that charcoal in. It's a thought, so I gave it a try two years ago when I put down this pile of straw left over from harvesting. It clearly works to a degree. What may inhibit that method is that *Stipa* grass straw is supposedly allelopathic, but that is only temporary. I would suspect bromes would be as well. We'll see.



End of September 2014

This year I tried a larger area and not quite so thick. More straw retains more moisture which keeps more insects (mole food) going. This is effectively a transition from an extreme vertex design (straw v. no straw) to graduated design (thicker v. thinner straw) with which to characterize how long it might take for me to do a substantial area with the annual mass of grass this place produces and whether I might need to harvest more straw elsewhere to bring to places like this ridge with its minimal nitrogen in the attempt to get the microflora here to produce more of it. I might have put this observation in the chapter on grassland restoration, but I thought a few nuggets basically showing the connections between the garden (a lab) and the field relate here, partly as a reward to those who dig this deep. If you did, thank you.

So, is this science? No university would condone doing things this way! No, we'd have transects with minute measurements, and control plots, complete with massive statistical analyses, pretty colored scatter plots few can read... Can I do that? Sure. Do I have the time? No. Should I then not ask the questions? Is there no value at all to what is learned here? This is where we get to a very serious and fundamental philosophical question about "science" the way it is practiced today:

University and agency science today functions in sum respects as a self-perpetuating grant application system. That means the scientist must produce data that reflects the standards of practice as set by the people who bestow the grants, usually Federal bureaucrats as advised by prestigious appointed (and compensated) academics. Unfortunately, this is where group-think enters in, where grandstanding about procedure begins to trump the applicability of the results.

The larger is the area to which the results of a study are to be applied and the more subtle the are distinctions being examined, the more important becomes the need for precision of sample measurements. Yet with precision comes a much higher cost of measurement, which then constrains the area of study and the term over which it is conducted. The larger the area of application, the more obvious it becomes that the magnitude of background variation will exceed the tolerances in the measurements! That argues for bigger scale and more replicates with less analytical precision (effectively the choice I have made), except that such is not allowed and is certainly not useful in court (which is where much of government sponsored science is actually used). Said another way, the more detailed and precise is our study, the *less* applicable the findings become in application because everything is subject to external variation. So, what good is all that expensive precision at that point?




This is why in manufacturing we did our factorial arrays with considerable sample sizes but also with very coarse measurement gradients because we needed applicability more than we needed precision for its own sake. That does not mean we did not take precise measurements where they were warranted (I worked with high-frequency microwave circuits at one time) as "coarse" might involve differences in a very small component. Yet pursuant to those observations, I would argue that what is needed in ecology is to increase the number of trials and the rate and types of disturbance by which to characterize system responses to discontinuities. This is not what I usually see in the practice of academic studies in ecology.

So I keep building equipment and trying things with which to identify control levers on the system that might have escaped others. Certainly the relationships between charcoal, hydrology, trace minerals, and use of mulch go that far, don't they. Oh, but plopping a pile of straw down and maybe measuring how thick it was might mean something? No. The variations in how much precipitation there is in any one year, what the temperature profile of the summer might have been, where the bugs and animals were initially and how many, would blow away much more analytical precision than to say, 4-6 inches of straw in the first pile and a couple in the second. To measure such things to the millimeter would be absurd.


Yet there is a place for this kind of forced happenstance followed by observation. It is to say, "Is it worth quantitative study? What might be the range for within each variable under test? How long is it going to take before one learns anything definitive? Etc." One *should* ask those questions before asking for money. At least this way, I get to ask more questions and make more observations for both less time and money. Wasn't that the public demand made of science in the first place?



SOILS & NATIVE PLANT HORTICULTURE



The patio needed a planter box along the retaining wall. On the theory that my elder daughter was interested in soil science, and I had this interest in charcoal, I applied the technology learned in building the vegetable beds to this box as designed with a sloping bottom to capture the leachate from two chambers, one with charcoal and one without. Again they are lined with plastic, but this time with a perforated pipe set in silicone to collect all of the leachate at a convenient outlet. I thought that the ability to measure rainfall and transient response characteristics would make it possible to generate transfer functions describing the pulse response of the two soil systems, thereby characterizing both infiltration and discharge responses. I never took the data because we didn't have the money for the lab work, but it was a cool idea. I might still do it if I can find a way to afford the instrumentation and lab work.



What was particularly fascinating about this experiment was that the soil with the charcoal did not grow plants as well as without. Further, the soil surface of the charcoal side sank more than the side with more organic matter. Needless to say there are all sorts of possible attributions, from excess salts in the charcoal to the preference nematodes might show for the additional organic matter. Don't know. I'd like to find out.

Yet aside from the analytical and aesthetic purposes for the box, its horticultural purpose has been met very nicely, which was to test how various native plants might do when grown in better soil. I also wanted to make more of them for reintroduction elsewhere on the property. In fall 2011, I started with the now famed blue dicks (*Dichelostema capitatum*) and the lesser feted skullcap (*Scutellaria tuberosa*). Both grew to some 5-10 times the size one sees in the wild. I planted them out in late February 2014. The blue dicks did not make much larger bulbs for purposes of food as I had hoped, but they did send out an enormous root full of fresh water, which does make some sense as a crop plant along a remote dry ridge-top trading route, as this property once was.

I also planted out Skullcap tubers. It did very well considering the terrible drought we had this year. The new additions this year were bulbs, either *Brodiaea elegans* or *Triteleia laxa* (haven't had the chance to key them).





M. villosa v. franciscana

M. villosa

End of September 2014

I continued these box experiments in 2013-14, adding two varieties of Franciscan coyote mint (*Monardella villosa* and *M. villosa* v. *franciscana*), in part to learn whether they would respond well to container planting in full sun and what its water requirements are. Clearly, both varieties could take the sun and survive in the box, although the v. *franciscana* quite apparently tolerates the sun better. Coyote mint is simply a wonderful plant with a magnificent mint aroma and gorgeous flowers (inset). The *M. v. franciscana* is more of a shrub than regular coyote mint and are rare around here. This close together they may hybridize, but these two varieties were collected from within 200 yards of each other so it is unlikely there would be any damage from it per se.



End of September 2014

I did learn that coyote mint does not at all appreciate excess water. I even plugged one drip line and this plant has barely recovered. Now that I have compared the two varieties side by side I will probably pull the plants from this second box this year to add more soil and prepare for some new tests.



July 2014



There is a relatively common *Eriogonum nudum* found on my neighbor's property (above) that I am trying to germinate now. Yet there is another buckwheat species found within three miles of here that I would like to collect, *Eriogonum fasciculatum*. The latter is said to be endemic to Santa Barbara. It is lower growing, more productive, and prettier compared to more common local flavor. The Indians probably brought it to nearby Aptos and Scotts Valley, as those places did host tribal villages. Such issues get back to "what is native" versus what is not. People clearly played a part in plant distributions here even thousands of years ago.



May 2009, this is a very large specimen by wildland standards here

I have also been playing around with *Camissonia* spp., of which there is a coastal variety known to produce a root that once was a native food. That made me suspect that the two species we have here might also be edible (gophers love them). In the wild they grow as a flat rosette usually about 3-5" across with a short stem, unless it is a wet year and they're in the shade and they put out branches (above). In my vegetable bed on the other hand, one grew to FIVE FEET across and 2 feet tall without irrigation. I tried munching on it (*C. contorta*) and it was pretty bitter and the texture unpleasant. I'll give this *C. micrantha* a taste sometime.



Dying coyote mint
(too much water)

C. tumulicola

Vinegar Weed

Yarrow

Yerba Buena

Moisture from irrigation
Water. Note that this
was in the shade of
the barbeque.
I backed off on the timer.

Thyme

There are happenstance “experiments” here too. In bed I planted the yarrow and yerba buena (*S. douglasiana*). The *Carex tumulicola*. and vinegar weed (*Trichostema lanceolatum*) are volunteers. Vinegar weed is an amazing dry land annual forb and is very attractive to bees (next chapter). Unlike the coyote mint, everything else loved regular watering. There used to be thyme here, which I have decided to discontinue here because it appears likely to be invasive (below). We learn by doing, and not so much by walking away.

COMPANION PLANTING



This winter we are beginning experiments in “companion planting,” including both crop plants (such as carrots or beets with cabbage) and a couple of native groundcovers. This will include in particular yerba buena (*Satureja douglasiana*), a type of mint, and hedge nettle (*Stachys bullata*), a non-stinging low-growing relative of stinging nettles. Yerba buena (prior slide) starts from cuttings, so it’s slow and iffy. Stachys is known to augment nitrogen fixing-bacteria in the rhizosphere and does start from seed. Deer do make things difficult.



The deer fence is only half done, but remains part of the reason we have grown a lot of squash and haven't taken garden production terribly seriously. The ground was too dry for grading and drilling posts last winter. I can do it this winter, if we get rain. After feeding them this well, it makes one contemplate cooking up some Bambi burgers. Our next move is to spice up that salad with capsaicin.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Introductory Path

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| Introduction | Why Native Plants? | Why “Native” Is Not Enough | Site History | Repeat Photos | Scene & Unseen | Project Overview |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|

Forestry

| | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|
| Broadleaf | Understory | Conifer | Drainage | Roads | Aerials |
|-----------|------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|

Sand Hills & Grasslands

| | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Noxious Weeds | Colonization Behavior | Sand Hills | Meeting Meadows | Grassland Restoration |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------|

Technical Path

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Weeding Technique | The Weed Bank | Pre-Emergence | Drought Tolerance |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|

Miscellaneous

| | | |
|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Gardens & Soil Rehab | Bees & Pollinators | Tools & Equipment |
| You Are Here | Next | |

Concluding Path



| | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Succession to Failure | Weeds as Commons | Boundary Conditions | Prison Plan-It | “Ownerless” Society |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|

